

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,698	IKEDA ET AL.	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn. (3) _____

(2) Terryence F. Chapman. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 February 2007 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ernest G. Therkorn

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed correcting the typographical error in claim 1 and the submission of a terminal disclaimer to place the case in condition for allowance. Terryence F. Chapman then authorized the change by examiner's amendment and indicated that he would submit a terminal disclaimer.